

JOSEPH P. RUSSONIELLO (CABN 44332)  
United States Attorney

BRIAN J. STRETCH (CABN 163973)  
Chief, Criminal Division

HANLEY CHEW (CSBN 189985)  
Assistant United States Attorney

150 Almaden Boulevard, Suite 900  
San Jose, California 95113  
Telephone: (408) 535-5061  
Facsimile: (408) 535-5066  
E-mail: [hanley.chew@usdoj.gov](mailto:hanley.chew@usdoj.gov)

Attorneys for Plaintiff

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN JOSE DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	)	No. CR-04-20198-JF
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]
	)	ORDER CONTINUING DATE FOR
v.	)	STATUS CONFERENCE AND
	)	EXCLUDING TIME FROM APRIL 1, 2009
TRIEU LAM	)	TO JUNE 17, 2009 FROM
	)	CALCULATIONS UNDER THE SPEEDY
Defendant.	)	TRIAL ACT
	)	

Defendant Trieu Lam (“Lam” or “defendant”) and plaintiff United States of America, hereby stipulate as follows:

1. The above-captioned case is a trade secret case in which there are thousands of pages of discovery. There are outstanding discovery issues. Defendant Lam and the government have entered into a stipulated protective order to facilitate the discovery of confidential information. On November 1, 2006, defense counsel raised additional issues concerning this information. In February 2007, the government has sent defense counsel a response to these issues. On March 11, 2007, defense counsel requested clarification of the government’s responses. On April 26, 2007, the government provided this clarification. The parties met on September 13, 2007, and began investigating the issues that arose from this meeting. The parties completed a substantial

STIP & [PROPOSED] ORDER CONT.  
STATUS CONFERENCE AND EXCLUDING TIME  
CR-03-20198-JF

1 portion of their investigation and met in late January 2008 to discuss a potential disposition to  
2 this matter. Due to conflicts in their schedules, the parties were unable to meet again until July  
3 29, 2008. At that meeting, the parties discussed a potential disposition that would require  
4 additional investigation. The parties need additional time to complete their investigations and  
5 discussions to determine whether a disposition is possible. In addition, government counsel will  
6 be unavailable the week of March 30, 2009 for family medical reasons and has a trial beginning  
7 in early May 2009 that is likely to last several weeks.

8 2. The defendant understands and agrees to the exclusion of time from calculations under  
9 the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161, for the period from April 1, 2009 through June 17, 2009,  
10 based upon the need for the defense counsel to analyze the confidential information and  
11 investigate further the facts of the present case. Defense counsel needs additional time to  
12 investigate the facts of this case and evaluate further possible defenses and motions available to  
13 the defendant.

14 3. A status conference will not being meaningful until after defendant Lam has had an  
15 opportunity to complete his investigation. The parties agree that the status conference currently  
16 scheduled for April 1, 2009 and should be continued to June 17, 2009 at 9 a.m.

17 4. The attorney for defendant Lam joins in the request to exclude time under the Speedy  
18 Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161, for the above reasons, and believes the exclusion of time is  
19 necessary for effective preparation of the defense; believes the exclusion is in the defendant's  
20 best interests; and further agrees that the exclusion under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C.  
21 § 3161, should be for the period from April 1, 2009 through June 17, 2009.

22 Given these circumstances, the parties believe, and request that the Court find, that the  
23 ends of justice are served by excluding the period from April 1, 2009 through June 17, 2009,  
24 from calculations under the Speedy Trial Act and that the requested exclusion outweighs the best  
25  
26  
27  
28

interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(A) & (B)(iv).

IT IS SO STIPULATED.

DATED: 3/6/09

JOSEPH P. RUSSONIELLO  
United States Attorney

/s/ Hanley Chew  
HANLEY CHEW  
Assistant United States Attorney

DATED: 3/6/09

/s/ Steven R. Manchester  
STEVEN R. MANCHESTER  
Attorney for defendant Trieu Lam

**[PROPOSED] ORDER**

Having considered the stipulation of the parties, the Court finds that: (1) the defendant understands and agrees to the exclusion of time from calculations under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161, for the period from April 1, 2009 through June 17, 2009, based upon the need for the defense counsel to investigate further the facts of the present case, review the discovery that the government has already provided and evaluate further possible defenses and motions available to the defendant; (2) the exclusion of time is necessary for effective preparation of the defense and is in the defendant's best interests; and (3) the ends of justice are served by excluding from calculations under the Speedy Trial Act the period from April 1, 2009 through June 17, 2009.

Accordingly, the Court further orders that (1) the status conference set for April 1, 2009 is vacated and that the next appearance date before this Court is scheduled for June 17, 2009 at 9:00 a.m.; and (2) the period from April 1, 2009 through June 17, 2009 is excluded

1 from time calculations under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161.

2 IT IS SO ORDERED.

3 DATED: 3/18/09

4   
5 THE HONORABLE JEREMY FOGEL  
6 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE  
7 DISTRICT

8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
STIP & [PROPOSED] ORDER CONT.  
STATUS CONFERENCE AND EXCLUDING TIME  
CR-03-20198-JF